

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/578,836	CHUNG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Sharon Wen	1644	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Sharon Wen.

 (2) Sunhee Lee.
**Status of Application: pending**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 November 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In view of allowability of claims 7-8, a telephone call was place on 11/26/2007 to Applicant's representative, Sunhee Lee, during which Examiner proposed an Examiner's Amendment and cancellation of claims 5-6 in order to place claims 7-8 in condition for allowance. Ms. Lee indicated on 11/30/2007, that Applicant would prefer to see the grounds for rejecting claims 5-6 thus have an opportunity to respond to those grounds. .